```
(Handing document to the Witness.)
  1
                   Yes, sir.
  2
                   Would you look at the first page and please, on
       the date on the first page, the business purpose of the trip
        to Washington?
                  Yes, sir.
 6
                   I have the exhibit before me. The business
 8
        purpose says:
                     "Regarding FHLB and regulatory matters and
                  Lincoln Savings, relationship with Chairman Ed
10
11
                  Gray, Chairman Ed Gray stepping down in June '87.
12
                  Review plans for Ponchartrain Hotel, Tower II."
                  For clarity, this is, I am certain, a summary of
13
       the daily agendas that I was talking about. This would be
14
       an accounting agenda that was put together by someone who
15
       summarized the daily agenda.
17
                  So the business purpose really does not tell me
18
       much.
                  Would you look into the third page of that
19
           Q
       exhibit, and look at Wednesday, January 28th at 3:00 p.m.
20
       Would you read that entry, please.
                     "CHK, Carol Kassick" --
22
                  Who is Carol Kassick, by the way?
23
           Q
24
                  She is Mr. Keating's secretary.
                     "Senator Riegle, 105 Dirksen."
25
```

1	Q You mentioned yourself, didn't you?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q Okay. Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit
4	No. 89, please?
5	A May I add just one point?
6	Q Yes. Sure. You can add anything.
7	A In reading that "business purpose" on the
8	Ponchartrain Hotel, Tower II, it refreshes my recollection.
9	And again I don't have a specific recollection of dates and
10	substance in meetings, but I do recall Mr. Keating and I at \ddot{I}
11	some time having a meeting with Senator Riegle where Mr.
12	Keating talked about the fact that he was considering making
13	an additional investment in Detroit, and that was the
14	Ponchartrain Hotel Tower II.
15	We had already bought and invested several
16	millions of dollars in Detroit with the Hotel.
17	He was considering another tower. And I do not
18	know if it was that meeting, because you had asked me if
19	other things were discussed, but I do recall at "a" meeting
20	with Senator Riegle Mr. Keating discussed the Ponchartrain
21	Hotel.
22	Senator Riegle was very interested in that. He
23	was very interested in the thought that Mr. Keating was
24	investing money in downtown Detroit.
25	Q Is there any doubt in your mindwhile you don't

<u>}</u>
recollect maybe the specific words as you have indicatedis
there any doubt in your mind that Senator Riegle agreed to
arrange the meeting with the Senators?
A No, sir.
Q All right. Now in fairness to Senator Riegle,
let me refer you to his deposition, Exhibit E, page 73, and
let me just read to you a few lines.
"Q Does that in any way refresh your
recollection as to whether or not it was you who
arranged this meeting on April 2nd?
"A Well, first of all, I've seen this. I
did not arrange the meeting on April 2nd, and I
don't accept this description, and that is laid
out here on this page. That was prepared I take
it soretime in December of '89" and I will refer
you to that in a minute.
"Q Did you arrange the April 9th meeting?
"A No, I didn't arrange either meeting."
Now it is your recollection that he agreed to
arrange the meetings? Is that right?
A In Phoenix, Senator Riegle agreed to talk to Ed
Gray. I got the message from Mr. Keating that Senator
Riegle had talked to Ed Gray, and that Gray had agreed to
meet with other Semators. And I went and talked to Senator

25 Riegle about that, and he asked me to talk to the other

20

21

22

23 24

25

Senators and have them invite him to this meeting.

- Q Looking at Exhibit No. 89, which is an excerpt from a pleading that was filed in the bankruptcy court in Phoenix, if you would, go to the third page. It is Batesstamped 237.
 - A Exhibit 89 of?
 - Q Special Counsel Exhibit No. 89.

(Handing document to the Witness.)

I will represent to you that this is a portion of the pleading that was filed by American Continental in the Bankruptcy Court. I would ask you to go down to the--do you see where it says "66"?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Bates Stamped 237. Would you be kind enough to read the bottom paragraph starting with "ACC and Lincoln Management" through the next page? It is about one, two, three, four, five, six, seven lines up from the bottom. See where it says "ACC and Lincoln management"?
- A Would it be all right if I read the paragraph before it to just set the context?
 - Q Oh, yes. Read whatever you want.
- A Just so that the Committee understands, this is a pleading that was presented in the bankruptcy court which is a review of American Continental's management's perspective on the events that led up to the bankruptcy.

"With the 1986 examination moving into its

13th month, ACC and Lincoln management became
frustrated with the apparent disorganization and
indecision of the examiners, and with the FHLBB's
unlawful public disclosures of confidential and
misleading examination information.

"Substantially all of ACC's and Lincoln's transactional documents and corporate records representing millions of pages had been repeatedly made available to and copied by the FHLBB. Exit interviews had been scheduled and cancelled. And the examination appeared to have no prospect for conclusion.

"ACC had tried on several occasions to meet with James Cirona, Lincoln's Supervisory Agent, at the FHLB-SF, to address the unexplained and extraordinary length of the examination, only to have the scheduled meeting cancelled by Cirona.

" ACC and Lincoln became increasingly concerned about the disabling effect of the examination process, and unlawful disclosures on Lincoln's operations. The mere length of what was to be a routine examination, led to inferences of Lincoln's wrong doing drawn by those with whom Lincoln did business, resulting

in strained and irretrievably damaged relationships.

"The presence of the examiners demanded extraordinary time and attention by management, and ordinary business operations were greatly disrupted. In light of the obvious damage to Lincoln's operations, resulting from the examination, and with no end in sight, and after Cirona's refusals to meet with Lincoln, ACC and Lincoln saw only two alternatives available.

"The could have filed a lawsuit and incurred the additional expense, disruption and uncertainty associated with any litigation, and exacerbated an already hostile relationship with the powerful regulators who governed Lincoln.

"With litigation an obviously undesirable alternative, ACC and Lincoln concluded that their only real option was to turn to their elected representatives for help. In particular, ACC and Lincoln sought assistance to ascertain the motives and justification for the prolonged examination and if, as ACC and Lincoln perceived, the examination was the product of undue regulatory zeal, to bring perspective to the process by suggesting that the FHLBB either

. T.

charge violations or complete and conclude the examination.

"ACC and Lincoln Management had been visiting members of Congress since 1984 to urge rejection of the re-regulation of the savings and loan industry represented by the Direct Investment Regulation.

"Senator Donald W. Riegle (D-Mich), listened to ACC's story, conducted his own inquiry, and agreed to contact FHLBB Chairman, Edwin Gray, to arrange for the Chairman to meet in April, 1987, with a bipartisan group of Senators, who represented ACC's state or organization, Ohio, Senator John Glenn (D-Ohio), ACC's principal place of business, Arizona, Senator Dennis DeConcini (D-Arizona), and Senator John McCain (R-Arizona), and Lincoln's place of charter and principal place of business, California, Senator Alan Cranston (D-Calif.)"

- Q All right. Now, you testified just a few moments ago about fundraising, doing a fundraising event.
 - A Yes, sir.

 Q Is it a fairs statement to say that Mr. Keating had never before, to your knowledge, made contributions to Senator Riegle or any of his campaign activities?

. ;

1 Mr. Keating had been a very active political campaigner and fundraiser long before I came to American 2 3 Continental Corporation. He actively raised money for charitable 5 organizations and also for political organizations. And I simply don't know --6 So your answer is, you don't know? Prior to my coming, I don't know. A 8 But from the time that I was at the company, until now, I was not aware of any fundraising for Senator 10 11 Riegle. Q In the January-February '87 time frame, and you 12 had a lot of direct dealings with Mr. Keating, didn't you? 13 Mr. Bennett, I had a significant amount of direct 14 15 dealings with Mr. Keating about the events here. But there were many many times when Mr. Keating spoke on the phone with elected officials or met with elected officials, and 17 there are many conversations, meetings, etcetera, that he is 18 the person who knows what happened. 19 20 I just don't know. You do know, don't you, that Mr. Keating was well 21 aware of the fact that Senator Riegle was on the Banking 22 23 Committee? Yes, sir. 24 Α Q Would you be kind enough to look at Special 25

	No. 12 Section 1
	31
1	Counsel Exhibit 213, and please read that letter into the
2	record?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Describe the letter, please.
5	A It's a letter on Mr. Keating's personal
6	stationery. It's a two-page document, dated February 18,
7	1987. It's addressed to Mr. Ronald Sova.
8	"Dear Ronald,
9	"I have a very serious task to raise funds for
0	the Honorable Donald Riegle, who is running for
l	reelection as United States Senator for Michigan.
?	Please phone or otherwise contact anyone you know
3	in Michigan who will, a) contribute to Don Riegle
1	for U.S. Senate (contributions must be from
i	individuals and cannot exceed \$2,000; \$500 to
•	\$2000 contributions will be deeply appreciated).
7	"Very important:! b) give us names of people
1	we may solicit and who may be invited to the
	luncheon (see below).
)	"c) Senator Riegle will be the next Chairman
	of the Senate Banking Committee. Probably for
	the year 1988. Surely you know those who will
	help support (from anywhere in the United States)
	this excellent Senator in his reelection
	campaign. The luncheon is noon, Monday,

March 23, 1987, at the Ponchartrain Hotel in Detroit. If you, yourself, wish to contribute, 2 the Senator and I will be very grateful. Simply make your check out, ("Riegle for Senate"), and return to me as soon as possible. "This is extremely important. I need invitees, I need contributions." "Sincerely, Charles H. Keating, Jr." 8 9 Now, with regard to Senator Riegle's visit to ACC in March of '87, which you've testified about, were you 10 aware that Earl Katz helped arrange it? 11 I was not aware of that. I saw in the documents 12 13 that Earl picked the Senator up at the airport and brought him to us. It was not unusual for Earl to have elected - 14 officials that he brought over to our company to introduce 15 16 them to Mr. Keating. And who was Earl Katz? 17 Q Earl Katz was a prominent, respected businessman 18 19 in Arizona, very active in Arizona democratic politics and 20 an active fundraiser for a variety of democrats in Arizona. 21 Q What was his relationship with Senator DeConcini, 22 if you know? 23 λ He was a fundraiser for Senator DeConcini, and I believe, a good friend. 24 25 Were you aware that on this trip in March, in

...

1	addition to discussing the fundraising event at the hotel,
2	that funds were collected for Senator Riegle on that trip?
3	A Funds collected from American Continental
4	personnel?
5	Q Yes.
6	A I have no specific recollection of that but,
7	again, that would not have been unusual and it's even
8	possible that I donated funds at that time. But it does not
9	stand out in my mind.
10	Q Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit 81,
11	please?
12	A Yes, sir. The document is before me.
13	Q Would you read that into the record, please?
14	A It's a letter on the personal stationery of Earl
15	Katz. It's to Senator DeConcini. It's dated March 3, 1987.
16	"Dear Senator DeConcini,
17	"I am very pleased to be able to enclose
18	support checks on behalf of the reelection of
19	Senator Don Riegle of Michigan. This fundraising
20	effort has been initiated and led by Mr. Charles
21	Keating, Jr., Chairman, American Continental
22	Corporation.
23	"Mr. Keating strongly believes in the elective
24	process, and his encouragement has been a source
25	of great inspiration to me. He works very hard

to impact the leadership of this community, our
State, and our country, with his ideas, questions
and concerns. I only wish every citizen
participated with his vigor and his knowledge.

1 2

5

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Senator Riegle has demonstrated a very broadbased open leadership in the Senate, and has earned the support of the citizens of Michigan, Arizona, and throughout our country. It is a high honor to have worked in Arizona to assist you on behalf of Senator Riegle, your colleague, and friend.

"Please pass these support checks along to Senator Riegle as a genuine measure of the high esteem each contributor has for his public service, and with their best wishes for a successful election campaign.

"Sincerely, Earl Katz."

And it shows blind copies to Kevin Gottlieb, myself, and Charles Keating, Jr.

Q Now, why, given your understanding of Mr. Keating and ACC's fundraising practices, why would money have been given not directly to Senator Riegle by your or Mr. Keating, why would you go through Senator DeConcini?

A It appears to me that we went through Earl Katz, which would have been very normal. Because Earl was a very

active fundraiser, and if he was organizing a fundraiser, and he was the contact with Mr. Keating, Earl would be the 2 person to whom the checks would be given. Mr. Bennett. Mr. Chairman, there's an Exhibit 87 which I would like to read into the record at this time, it is in evidence, which relates to this general subject matter. This is Special Counsel Exhibit 87. It's a memorandum to Don, Laurie, and Jim Arbery, from Kevin, and it's dated February 18, 1987, Subject: DeConcini Reciprocity 10 11 for Katz Help to Don in Arizona. 12 And it reads as follows: "Today, I met with Earl Katz regarding your 13 upcoming trip to Arizona. The amounts appear to 14 be as follows: 15 "\$10,000 - American Continental 3\8." I'll skip the rest. 17 "Earl will be directly responsible for about 18 19 Mr. Green. Could Mr. Bennett read the entire, if 20 21 he's going to read the memo --Vice Chairman Rudman. Please read the entire 22 23 memo. Mr. Bennett. All right, I'll read it all. I was just trying to save time.

24

22

23

24

25

Vice Chairman Rudman. Thank you.

"\$2500 - AIPIC - Katz Jewish PAC 3\8

"\$7500 - AIPIC - follow up by Katz, April

"\$7500 - New England Life event, 3\7If

"\$27,500 - total

"Earl will be directly responsible for about \$20,000. Some time in the Spring, Earl will be arranging a mid-west weekend swing for Senator DeConcini. One stop will be in the Detroit area. I have told him you would prefer to do a Sunday evening event. For example, 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. I told him you will need about two months advance notice and full materials on DeConcini's Israel record. Earl will take care of everything. I think we would have to raise \$15,000. You will want to call Emery Klein to ask him to do the event. When you speak in Arizona to his Jewish group (Sunday afternoon), he recommends that you not try to prove how pro-Israel you are, but rether, talk about:

- "1. American support for Israel is in the best interests of American
- $^{\rm M}2$. How Israel helps the U.S. in the Middle East, etc., and
 - "3. How AIPIC performs an educational

function for Congressional Staff, etcetera. "Earl will explain what a strong supporter you have been of Israel. Then, in addition to the PAC check and money you receive on Sunday, he will collect the remainder from the people at the Sunday afternoon session. "I have asked Jim to ask the Staff to prepare briefings on Banking, Israel, and Insurance. "Finally, if you have not done so already, you will want to put on your schedule, the May 18th 10 AIPIC dinner in Washington, and the May 19th 11 AIPIC reception here in town." 12 By Mr. Bennett: 13 Did Mr. Keating or Senator Riegle or Mr. Gottlieb 14 or Jim Arbery ever discuss with you any reciprocal 15 fundraising arrangements between Senator DeConcini and 16 17 Senator Riegle as it may relate to the trip of Senator Riegle to Phoenix? 18 No, sir. 19 Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit 90? 20 Yes, sir. 21 Can you please read that into the record and tell 22 23 us what this means to you? 24 It appears to be a Xerox of two separate 25 documents.

.

	ji 11 1:	38
	į	
1	İ	One is a handwritten note on a U.S. Senate
2	Memorandur	note pad.
. 3		And the other is a "While-You-Were-Out" phone
4	message.	
5	1, 11	The U.S. Senate note pad reads, as best as I car
6	decipher:	"KG - I set up meeting on
7	Q	Who is "KG," do you know?
8	A	Kevin Gottlieb, in this context.
9		"I set up meeting on Thursday 2-5 at 2:30 for
10		Senator McCain, Jim Grogan of Charles Keating's
11		office, and Robert Kielty, same office. Harris
- 12		will be there and they want you, too. It is my
13		understanding that DWR requested this be done
14		last week."
15	And the ph	one message "While-You-Were-Out":
16		"Jim Grogan of Phoenix none of the boxes are
17		checked and it says 15 M I cannot read that
18		word, if you can help me, Mr. Bennett Senator
19		McCain, Thursday, 10:45, February 5th, one-half
20		hour, Robert Kielty for Charles Keating."
21	Q	Amy can read it better than I. She thinks it
22	means 15 mi	nutes, but
23		Did you ask Senator Riegle, at any time, to
24	contact Sen	ator McCain?
25	λ	Could I clarify these notes?

1 Oh, yes, sure. 2 I have no recollection of this meeting ever taking place. I do not recall at any time Bob Kielty, 3 myself and Senator McCain ever meeting with Senator Riegle. Did you ever ask Senator Riegle to contact 5 Senator McCain regarding Lincoln's complaints about Gray? Senator Riegle asked me to contact Senator McCain, because, in the context of setting up this meeting with Ed Gray, Senator Riegle asked me to have Senator McCain 9 and Senator DeConcini invite Senator Riegle to the meeting 10 11 as an expert in banking and regulatory issues, because of his expertise on the Banking Committee. 12 13 I have a specific recollection of meeting with Senator McCain, and telling Senator McCain, first of all, 14 that this meeting was being planned between various Senators 15 who understood our problems with the regulators. 16 17 And I told him that Senator Riegle had asked me 18 if Senator McCain would invite Senator Riegle to that meeting. Senator McCain reacted very negatively to that 19 suggestion. And he told me, no. He told me that he would 20 21 not invite Senator Riegle to the meeting, and he was unhappy about that concept. 22 . However, --23 24 Let me ask you this, before you go on.

Did Senator Riegle ever tell you why he wanted

..

 you to ask Senator McCain to ask Senator Riegle to come to the meeting?

A It was apparent to me, and I can't recall a specific explanation from Senator Riegle, but it was apparent to me that Senator Riegle knew, as a shrewd politician, that this was a potentially politically explosive situation because there was tremendous media at the time about Mr. Keating and Mr. Gray and the regulators, and all the fighting.

And Senator Riegle expressed to me that he thought it would be appropriate for him to be involved in this meeting, if he were invited by the constituent Senators who were the most knowledgeable of Lincoln's problems.

And it was clear to me that he felt that he would be much more comfortable attending the meeting if the specific Senators from our state invited him to the meeting.

So when Senator McCain told me that he would not invite Senator Riegle, I asked him if he would at least just talk to Senator Riegle about the meeting.

Q Go ahead.

A Well, Senator McCain said, yes, that he would. In fact, he said, I have to go to a Committee hearing right now. Let's go. And he was off out of his office, and I was following Senator McCain.

We went to Senator Riegle's office, in the

Dirksen Building. I stayed in the hall. Senator McCain went in. Very short meeting, a couple minutes at most.

Senator McCain came out, said, that's done, that's taken care of. And he left.

One fact that I think is relevant is that when I was talking to Senator McCain about this meeting and would he go to the meeting and would he invite Senator Riegle, he was very adamant that he was politically concerned about the meeting and that he knew Ed Gray. And he was very clear in the way he expressed this to me, that he knew Ed Gray to be someone who could turn this meeting into something negative, as far as publicity goes.

And the politician in him told him it was a very dangerous meeting, and he did not commit to me at that time that he'd either go to the meeting or not. All he committed to me at that time was that he'd talk to Senator Riegle about it.

- Q Did Senator McCain express, at that time, any concerns about the propriety of going to such a meeting?
- A No, sir.

. 14

Q Do you recall meeting with Senator Riegle, Mr. Gottlieb, and Jack Atchison on or about February 26, 1987?

Do you remember?

A I definitely remember the meeting.

25 The specific date is not something I can tie the

1	meeting to. And I know	why and how the meeting was set up.		
2	Q Let me just s	how you two exhibits.		
3	Would you loo	k at Special Counsel Exhibit 550.		
4	A Yes, sir.			
5	Q I apologize f	or the faint print, but it's the		
6	best we could do.			
7	I'll represen	t to you that these are Senator		
8 Riegle's calendars for February 26, 1987, and would yo				
9	at the 3:45 p.m. entry?			
10	A "Jim Grogan,	American Continental, Phoenix."		
11	It looks like	, in little writing under that, it		
12	says, "Jack Atchison, Arthur Young."			
13	Q Now, would yo	u look at Special Counsel Exhibit		
14	563.			
15	A Yes, sir.			
16	Q Can you tell	us what that is?		
17	A It's a busines	ss purpose voucher, dated July 21,		
18	'87.			
19	Q That's a Linco	oln form, isn't it?		
20	A That's correct	, a Lincoln ACC form.		
21	Q When I say Lin	ncoln or ACC, you correct me if I		
22	should be using one or th	me other, but we've been using that		
23	somewhat synonymously.			
24	A I'll do my bes	t.		
	Q Read that form	in here, will you?		

-

•

A Date: February 26, '87. Place: Washington, D.C. General Business Purpose: Meetings on Capitol Hill with Jack Atchison, Arthur Young accountant, and Jay Rousellot.

- Q And it says?
- A The company is LSLA, Lincoln Savings & Loan, and the approval is my signature.
- Q You want to tell us about that meeting, if you can remember?
 - A Yes, sir.

At some point in my meeting with Senator Riegle, in discussing this concept of having a meeting, Senator Riegle was intent on having whatever documentation that we could provide him that would give him insight into the problems with Lincoln.

I do not recall if this is the same meeting, when he asked me to invite the Senators. But I do recall that one of the points that Mr. Keating made aggressively, whenever we met with elected officials, was that the regulators. seriously disagreed with and disliked the California law that was passed by the California Legislatures, that gave Lincoln powers to do a variety of investments. And that the fact that the company utilized that charter successfully and profitably, seemed irrelevant to the regulators.

And Mr. Keating was adamant that we had big eight

accounting firms who reviewed every income-producing transaction, and profits were booked, based on the advice and the determination of these accounting firms.

ς΄ ΄

And Mr. Keating, in all of these meetings, would aggressively say Arthur Young or Arthur Andersen, whichever accountant had done that year's audit, has audited our books. They have separate offices in our buildings.

They're with us daily. They're involved in all the transactions. And they tell me when I can book income and when I can't. And I'm running a very profitable company. And my accountants are giving me clean audits that are without qualification.

And Senator Riegle whom I know had heard that at one time or another and probably several times from Mr.

Keating, asked me, can you get me a letter from your accountants that outlines their perspective on your relationship with the regulators.

I relayed that message from Washington, back to Mr. Keating. And Jack Atchison, the then managing partner of Arthur Young in Phoenix, and it was my understanding that he was one of the top executives of Arthur Young nationally and on one of their top committees. He had tremendous experience in the S&L industry, and had been our managing partner on our audits and was very familiar with American Continental's and Lincoln's transactions, wrote a letter to

1	Senator Riegle, and later wrote virtually the same letter to
2	the other Senators involved.
3	Q Would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit 192,
4 .	please, and tell me if that's the letter that you're talking
5	about?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Would you just read the caption, please? The
8	date and the caption.
9	A It's on Arthur Young Stationery. It's dated
10	March 13, 1987, The Honorable Donald W. Riegle, Jr., United
11	States Senate, 105 Dirksen Senate Building, Washington, D.C.
12	20510.
13	Q And it says, "Dear Senator Riegle" right?
14	A Dear Senator Riegle, yes, sir.
15	Q Now, would you look at Special Counsel Exhibit
16	256.
17	That appears to be the same letter, as to
18	substance, anyway, doesn't it?
19	A I have seen these letters previously, and it's my
20	recollection that they are very substantively the same.
21	Q Looking at Special Counsel Exhibit 256, looking
22	at the caption, would you read the caption, please?
23	I don't mean the Arthur Young part.
24	Who is the letter to?
25	A It appears that the addressee has been whited

~

•

•

1 out. It just says "the Honorable," but it has United States Senate, 105 Dirksen. That is Senator Riegle's office. 2 Do you know why that is whited out? I have no idea. 5 Did Lincoln send that letter to any other United States Senators or their staffs? 6 7 It certainly is possible. But it's my understanding that Arthur Young, Jack A Atchison sent very similar, substantially the same letter to all the other Senators. 10 But this was a powerful letter. I suspect it's 11 already been read into the record. 12 13 Many many times. It's a very powerful letter, and we distributed 14 this letter far and wide. 15 16 How did you know who to send it to? 17 Did Senator Riegle request it? 18 Yes. I've already testified that Senator Riegle 19 asked me to have our accountant send him a letter. And after we got the letter and distributed it 20 21 and had it sent to the other Senators, Mr. Keating asked Mr. Atchison if he would mind personally going to Washington, 22 D.C. with me and meeting with various elected officials. 23 24 And explaining, from his perspective as an independent accountant to had tremendous experience in the S&L industry, 25

his views on what was happening at Lincoln, in relationship to the regulators. Just so the record's clear, Mr. Atchison -- and Q let me make it clear there would be no way that any of the Senators would know this, but Mr. Atchison subsequently went 6 to work for Lincoln, didn't he? That is a fact. 8 Just for the record, there was absolutely no way that I knew that that was going to happen, or that I ever 9 had any inkling that that was going to happen at this time 10 in real time. 11 12 When did you first learn that Atchison was going to go to work for Lincoln, as best you can recall? 13 It was maybe two weeks before he actually came to 14 work with us. 15 Q What was his approximate salary, do you know? 16 Α I've heard reports that it was approximately \$750,000. But I do not have independent knowledge of that. Did Mr. Keating ever discuss with you the hiring of Mr. Atchison? Yes, he did, Mr. Bennett. That was unfortunately one of our biggest blowups, if you will. Tell us what that discussion was. First of all, my boss, Bob Kielty, who was

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

general counsel for the Company, when he heard that Mr. Keating was considering hiring Jack Atchison, was very upset.

It was no reflection on Mr. Atchison. Everyone respected him highly as an accountant.

But Bob thought that it just looked bad. It looked bad hiring the man who had run your audit during very turbulent times. And Bob was very very aggressive in representing to Mr. Keating him perspective on that.

And I recall vividly a meeting that I was in in Judy Wischer's office with Mr. Keating, Judy Wischer, the President of the Company and myself, and Mr. Keating was complaining about Mr. Kielty's view on Atchison.

And I shared with Mr. Keating that I agreed with Mr. Kielty, and that from a Washington perspective that I thought that this was a disastrous decision, purely from the public relations viewpoint.

And this was right around the time that we were just signing the memorandum of understanding, and we were just settling things with the regulators.

And I explained to Mr. Keating that I just thought, regardless of his talents, and I had no personal problems with Jack, I respected his talents, I thought it was a terrible mistake to hire him. And there's been descriptions about the anger shown by Senator McCain in that

meeting.

R

Well, that was nothing compared to what I got.

Mr. Keating was white hot. He said that spineless lawyers

would ruin this company, that he had to have talented

accounting people. That lawyers never made a nickel for the

company, that all we did was cause problems. And that the

accountants and business people were the guts of his company

and they made the money for the company. And he made the

point to me, he said, "Jack Atchison knows every nickel

that's come in and gone out of this company, he knows every

deal. I'm honored that he wants to come work for me."

And I think it's only fair to tell the Committee that there was tremendous sincerity. I believe that Mr. Atchison's discussions with Mr. Keating, which I had no privy to, really did lead Mr. Keating to believe that Mr. Atchison was leaving Arthur Young no matter what, and that he wanted to come and be a part of our company. And that that definitely was something that Mr. Keating, he was impressed by that and he took great pride in that, that his accountant, who had stood by him in fights with the regulators, but who knew the company, knew its people, knew the business transactions, wanted to come and work at his side.

I didn't have any problems with Mr. Atchison as an accountant, and I want to make that very clear.