

1 have this re-interest in something he had shown an interest
2 in once he got into politics, but he was on the war path
3 about getting more people to participate and really felt
4 that our democracy was threatened by the fact that fewer and
5 fewer people were participating.

6 Senator Pryor. As a final question, if I might,
7 let me wade you off just a moment into this murky world of
8 defining what might violate the appearance standard, right
9 from wrong, these standards that chief counsel and all of us
10 have been talking about and wrestling with for now over a
11 year.

12 Did you ever feel in your fund raising efforts in
13 behalf of Senator Cranston and these various fund raising
14 activities that, one, that you were being a part of
15 perpetuating an appearance of wrong-doing?

16 Or that, two, he might appear to be acting
17 wrongfully in some way?

18 The Witness. No. Quite the contrary, in my
19 eight years of doing fund raising, I have certainly had
20 occasion to come into contact with individuals who have
21 said, I can do a \$30,000 fund raiser and I need you to get
22 me that HUD grant.

23 Those are just people that we just threw their
24 numbers away.

25 Senator Pryor. What do you mean, you threw their

1 numbers away?

2 The Witness. We threw their phone numbers away.

3 Senator Pryor. Oh, I see.

4 The Witness. I mean, we--there are a lot of
5 people out there that are not subtle about what it is they
6 want, and there was no reason to have to deal with those
7 people.

8 Senator Pryor. Thank you, very much.

9 Chairman Heflin. Senator Helms?

10 Senator Helms. I have only one question, because
11 I too know that you are tired.

12 I have so many figures swirling around, let me--
13 Mr. Bennett. We cannot hear you, Senator.

14 I do not know if your mike is on.

15 Senator Helms. I said, I will not keep the lady
16 because I know she is tired. But I have so many figures
17 swirling around.

18 I must compliment you and the Senator. You have
19 got this fund raising down to a fine art.

20 Let's see if you can think of anything else, or
21 maybe I am wrong about this list.

22 You have got \$850,000 from ACC and Lincoln for
23 voter registration.

24 Is that right?

25 The Witness. Yes.

1 Senator Helms. All right. You've got \$49,000
2 from Keating and/or employees and family to the Senator for
3 his 1984 Presidential campaign and his '86 Senate race.

4 Is that right?

5 The Witness. That is correct.

6 Senator Helms. Beg your pardon?

7 The Witness. That is correct.

8 Senator Helms. Then you have got \$85,000--and I
9 assume that you folks raised it--for the California
10 Democratic Party. Did you solicit that?

11 The Witness. I did not solicit it, and I do not
12 know for a fact that Senator Cranston did.

13 Senator Helms. All right, we will take that one
14 out because we are not sure about it.

15 Then you have got \$10,000 to the CDC in January
16 of '89.

17 That is the Committee for Democratic Consensus.
18 That is your PAC?

19 The Witness. Yes.

20 Senator Helms. And then you have got a \$300,000
21 line of credit which you never used, which you say was torn
22 up.

23 The Witness. Yes.

24 Senator Helms. Well, that puts you ahead of
25 Mother Teresa, does it not?

1 The Witness. I do not know. I do not know how
2 much Mother Teresa got.

3 Senator Helms. The record shows, \$1 million, and
4 she was in second place.

5 Thank you, very much.

6 Chairman Heflin. Senator Lott.

7 Senator Lott. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

8 Ms. Jacobson, did you work just for Senator
9 Cranston and his get-out-the-vote groups?

10 Or did you do similar work for other people, or
-11 candidates, or groups?

12 The Witness. During what period?

13 Senator Lott. Well, during this same period of
14 time, '87--

15 The Witness. Well, '87-88 I was--50 percent of
16 my time was devoted to the Democratic Senate Campaign
17 Committee. 25 percent of was to the Committee for
18 Democratic Consensus. And 25 percent was to USA Votes.

19 Senator Lott. Were there any other Senators
20 involved?

21 The Witness. In USA Votes?

22 Senator Lott. Right.

23 The Witness. There was a leadership committee.
24 There was only one Senator I can recall who actually ended
25 up making a trip with us, but we had a meeting here in

1 Washington not the February '87 one that is referred to, but
2 one that happened I believe like in February of '88 in which
3 several Democratic Senators attended, and some Congressmen,
4 to talk about how valuable they saw voter registration.

5 Senator Lott. The point I am getting to here is
6 just a point of inquiry.

7 Did you sit in for meetings on fund raising
8 efforts for these different groups in any other Senator's or
9 Congressman's offices?

10 The Witness. For the USA Votes efforts?

11 Senator Lott. Any of them.

12 The Witness. I had several meetings with other
13 Senators about the voter registration efforts.

14 Senator Lott. In their offices, in which you
15 discussed the fund raising effort; right?

16 The Witness. In their office, in which I
17 discussed it with the Senator.

18 Senator Lott. This USA Votes was a partisan
19 group, was it not?

20 The Witness. USA Votes was, and that is who paid
21 my salary.

22 Senator Lott. And Mr. Keating did contribute to
23 that group?

24 Is that correct?

25 The Witness. Yes.

1 Senator Lott. And he knew it was a partisan
2 group?

3 The Witness. I don't know. I think the letter
4 that has been put into evidence that we sent to him
5 certainly did not emphasize the partisan nature of it.
6 It talked about participation.

7 Senator Lott. Now you were a former Senate staff
8 member.

9 You worked for Senator Cranston?

10 The Witness. Correct, on his Senate staff from
11 '87--I'm sorry, '78 until mid-1982.

12 Senator Lott. And just generally what were your
13 responsibilities, just briefly.

14 The Witness. I was a legislative secretary,
15 special assistant, project assistant, and deputy press
16 secretary.

17 Senator Lott. Now you mentioned that you had a
18 desk in Senator Cranston's Whip office that you sometimes
19 used.

20 Was this a desk that you had used when you were
21 on his regular staff?

22 Or was this just a place they sort of said, when
23 you are over here having meetings, this will be a place you
24 can use?

25 The Witness. Well, it was not a desk. I think

1 if you have been in Senator Cranston's Whip office, there is
2 a circular table that sits at the window that faces west,
3 that looks right down the mall.

4 I often sat at that table and worked. There is a
5 phone next to that table.

6 Senator Lott. But if you had no official Senate
7 duties and no substantive expertise on legislative issues
8 being worked on then, what did you do at that desk?

9 The Witness. Well, as I say, if I had another
10 meeting that I was going to be attending with Senator
11 Cranston, I might sit and make phone calls at that desk.

12 I might sit and go through papers.

13 Senator Lott. Did those phone calls include fund
14 raising efforts?

15 The Witness. Well, most of them--they might
16 include fund raising efforts, but more likely they were of a
17 scheduling kind of nature because it is a very public
18 setting.

19 It would not be a place where I would sit and
20 make fund raising calls.

21 Senator Lott. Well, are you aware, or were you
22 aware then, that there is a Senate rule against fund raising
23 on Senate premises?

24 The Witness. I am not aware.

25 I should say, I don't know what you mean that it

1 is not allowed on Senate premises.

2 Senator Lott. Well, fund raising with
3 individuals, or fund raising on the telephone, that sort of
4 thing, on Senate premises would be getting pretty close to a
5 violation of that rule, I would assume.

6 The Witness. Meaning that meeting with a
7 contributor on Senate premises and talking to them about a
8 donation is a violation of Senate rules?

9 That would be news to me.

10 Senator Lott. Do you ever get uncomfortable,
11 like in the one memo where there was an indication that
12 there had been some action, some help with individuals, or
13 perhaps with Mr. Keating, maybe some legislative help, and
14 therefore you should "hit him up for \$250,0000"?

15 Did not that connection between those two,
16 putting it in writing, an action, followed by a request for
17 a contribution, did that not make you feel uncomfortable?

18 The Witness. Well, first of all, I do not think
19 it said that there was any action taking place.

20 It is an article that says there is a new head of
21 the Bank Board. That is not an action on our part.

22 Senator Lott. I believe there is a memo on our
23 part, and I would have to go through it, that says: In view
24 of some of the things you have done, now that we are back in
25 the majority, there are some things pending, or some